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Highlights

- The cognitive view on memory as a stable structure can be enriched by a view that considers remembering as a behaviour that varies fluidly across contextual antecedents and consequences.
- Adaptive forms of remembering may enhance well-being and protect against psychopathology by serving a three-fold set of consequences or so-called functions: a directive function, a self-function and a social function.
- The ABC analysis is a practical tool to study the conditions under which mnemonic behaviour is either adept or inept at evoking these adaptive directive, self-related and social consequences.

Abstract

Memory is under investigation as one of the core mechanisms of psychopathology. The traditional cognitive view of memory as a stable structure with a range of set characteristics can be complemented with a perspective that considers remembering as a behaviour that varies fluidly across contexts. Remembering may serve adaptation to the environment by fulfilling a directive function, a self-function, and a social function. A failure to fulfil these functions may be a risk factor for psychopathology. Implications of the discussed functionalist perspective include the importance of reinforcing adaptive ways of remembering during early development, the possibility of treating maladaptive ways of remembering through contextual interventions, and the added ecological validity of using ambulatory assessment methods.
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Introduction

Throughout history, different views on what determines behaviour have arisen, like the position of the stars, the power of the Gods, or the willpower of a free self (Boddez et al., 2017). In other words, the way in which human behaviour and, by extension maladaptive behaviour like psychopathology is studied, is anchored within a certain philosophy of science that is peculiar to the spirit of the times. In the cognitive framework, which is dominant in current times, cognitive structures like (autobiographical) memory are presumed to explain some forms of (mal)adaptive behaviour. Within this cognitive perspective, there is a focus on identifying characteristics of cognitive structures (e.g., specificity, coherence, detail, valence), in the assumption that certain characteristics are reflective or predictive of psychopathological behaviour (Palombo et al., 2018; Sutin & Robins, 2007). For instance, the specificity and coherence of the autobiographical memory are studied in their relation to psychological suffering and well-being (or maladaptive and adaptive behaviour; Baerger & McAdams, 1999; Raes et al., 2006; Reese et al., 2011). It has been found that individuals who have higher levels of memory specificity and memory coherence, report less depressive behaviour (e.g., rumination) and higher levels of psychological well-being (e.g., positive social relationships) (Hermans et al., 2008; Vanaken et al., 2021; Vanderveren et al., 2019; Waters & Fivush, 2015; Williams et al., 2007).

In the cognitive framework, we conceptualize characteristics of cognitive structures as if these structures are a crystallized reality or a stable force within the individual (De Houwer, 2019). Indeed, we speak of these structures and their characteristics in the same way as we speak of physical objects (e.g., diamonds) and their characteristics (e.g., fragility; Fried, 2017). Nonetheless, covert cognitive structures like memory are always studied through overt behaviour, which varies fluidly or flexibly according to its context and is in that sense unstable. Simply put, every one of us, even the most seasoned cognitive psychologist, is “condemned” to the study of observable behaviour in context (Eelen, 1999). For instance, in studies on memory coherence and specificity, participants are asked to write narratives about autobiographical events (Baerger & McAdams, 1999; Reese et al., 2011; Vanderveren et al., 2019). Depending on the experimental context (e.g., solitary or in the presence of others), the extent to which these narratives are specific or coherent can vary (Alea & Bluck, 2003; Meade et al., 2018; Pasupathi & Billiterri, 2015). Importantly, researchers only have access to the context and the narrative behaviour, whereas the presumed memory system remains inaccessible. Similarly, in studies on fear reduction techniques like memory reconsolidation.
interference, we induce variation in the context (e.g., presentation of instructions and stimuli, administration of a pharmacological agent) and assess their effect on fear responding (De Houwer & Hughes, 2020). Although removal of fear is sometimes equated with the destruction of memory traces, this necessarily remains hypothetical; after all, multiple cognitive explanations are compatible with any finite set of observable data (Boddez et al., 2020; Garcia-Marques & Ferreira, 2011; Goodman, 1955; Lieder & Griffiths, 2019).

**Behaviour in Context**

As said, all psychologists are “condemned” to the study of observable behaviour that varies according to environmental events, even when interested in the supposed underlying mental events/cognitive structures (Eelen, 1999). When moving to the behavioural level, taking the context (i.e., antecedents and consequences) into account is necessary in order to understand the meaning or function of (atypical) behaviour. In other words, in order to answer Baddeley’s (1988) famous question - “But what the hell is it for?” - we need to consider the context. For instance, consider the behaviour of ‘moving your arm’. We can describe the topography or phenomenology of that behaviour (moving your arm intensely during 3 seconds from left to right over a distance of 10 cm, etc.). However, without studying the contextual antecedents and consequences according to which the behaviour varies (e.g., hearing your name being called by a friend on the other side of the street as an antecedent, that friend coming over to you as a consequence), we cannot come to understand that behaviour as ‘waving’. In other words, it makes little sense to study the behaviour of moving one’s arm without studying the context. Thus, analysing determinants of behaviour (i.e., antecedents and consequences) is ultimately necessary to understand the function or meaning of the behaviour.

Analysing the behaviour in terms of its antecedents and consequences is referred to as an ABC analysis, in which A stands for antecedents, B for behaviour, and C for consequences. The ABC analysis is a common tool that is highly valued in the clinical practice of behaviour therapists (Hermans et al., 2017) and is closely linked to the kind of behaviourism that has been advocated by Skinner¹ and his successors (Törneke, 2010). Making an ABC analysis overrides the mere phenomenological description of constructs and provides the opportunity to move from the descriptive to the explanatory level, since the

---

¹ It is a common misconception that Skinner’s behaviourism did not take into account covert behaviour like thinking and remembering (Schneider & Morris, 1987; Skinner, 1974). Skinner (1953, 1957, 1977) did theorize on such covert behaviour and referred to it as ‘private events’, since they are only directly observable to the organism him-/herself.
investigation of A’s and C’s allows us to make a causal analysis of the behaviour. Although ABC analyses typically do not invoke mediating mechanisms, one can indeed still speak of causation if a change in A’s and / or C’s would result in a change in B (for a detailed discussion of mechanistic versus functional causation see Chiesa, 1992). From this perspective, the ABC analysis can be considered a counterfactual explanation (Roese & Morrison, 2009): “If A or C had not occurred in this form, then B would not have occurred in this form.”

### Mnemonic Behaviour in Context

This view can be extended to behaviour that involves the use of our ‘memory’, referred to as ‘mnemonic behaviour’ (i.e., an umbrella term that captures different forms of remembering, like internally thinking about or retrieving a memory, as well as externally sharing or narrating a memory). Namely, we can use the context to understand mnemonic behaviour in the same way as how we can use context to make sense of the behaviour of moving one’s arm.

First, mnemonic behaviour can vary according to its contextual **antecedents**. For example, during an argument with your partner (antecedent), you might recall significantly more arguments/negative moments you have had together, whereas whilst having a good time together (antecedent), you might recall more positive moments. This is a form of similarity-based generalization in the sense that the present situation (antecedent) evokes the mnemonic behaviour that has been emitted in similar situations (see work on context-dependent memory, Godden & Baddely, 1975; and mood-congruent recall, Teasdale & Russel, 1983). Another example of antecedent control over mnemonic behaviour is that cues that have been paired with aversive events can make participants think back of those aversive events (cf. intrusions; Wegerer et al., 2013; Zenses et al., 2020). This is clinically important, for instance in the context of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD); the confrontation with certain antecedents that remind the person of the trauma (which can become generalized over time) can evoke certain types of maladaptive behaviour (e.g., avoidance of certain emotional memories through remembering in an overgeneral manner; Ono et al., 2016; Schönfeld et al., 2007; Sheynin et al., 2017). This overgeneral mnemonic behaviour can keep the anxious responses intact, since it prevents proper exposure to and desensitization of the emotionally charged antecedents (Rothbaum & Schwartz, 2002).

Second, the **consequences** of mnemonic behaviour also determine the way in which we will remember in the future. For instance, in a laboratory study of Debeer and colleagues
participants remembered their past experiences in a significantly more specific manner when they were punished for retrieving nonspecific memories. These results illustrate the idea that the way in which we remember autobiographical events can be under the control of consequences. Also in vivo, the consequences of remembering are of great importance. For instance, it has been shown that when a person narrates in an incoherent and/or nonspecific manner, listeners will react more negatively (i.e., with less willingness to interact, less social support), as opposed to when a speaker narrates coherently and/or specifically (Barry et al., 2019a; Vanaken et al., 2020; Vanaken & Hermans, 2020a). The negative social consequences may reduce the frequency of the incoherent and/or nonspecific mnemonic behaviour (i.e., punishment), while the positive social feedback may cause the next instances of remembering more likely to be coherent and/or specific (Baumeister & Leary, 1995; Vanaken et al., 2021b).

The idea of training people by bringing the ways of remembering under operant control has also been translated to clinical practice, which we will elaborate on below.

**Mnemonic Adaptation**

The fact that our behaviour can change according to the environmental antecedents and consequences allows us to adapt to that environment. Adaptation can be considered at the level of individual organisms during their lifetime (i.e., ontogenetic adaptation or learning, more proximal consequences) and at the level of the species (i.e., phylogenetic adaptation, more distal consequences; Darwin, 1859; De Houwer & Hughes, 2020). In fact, certain adaptive values at the ontogenetic and at the phylogenetic level can be seen as parts of a hierarchical relation, since adaptation at the ontogenetic level can, in some instances, be at the service of the adaptivity of the species (e.g., coherent remembering may lead to positive social relationships, which on its turn may increase chances of survival and reproduction).

Whilst research on adaptation is quite sparse in the cognitive literature, one of the exceptions can be found in the literature on the functions of remembering autobiographical events. In that literature, it is generally agreed upon that mnemonic behaviour may serve adaptation in at least three ways, or in other words, result in three categories of consequences or functions that are adaptive for our well-being and survival (Bluck, 2003; Bluck et al., 2005, Bluck & Alea, 2002; Harris et al., 2014; Pillemer, 1992; Webster, 1993). These three main functions can be described as a directive function, a self-function, and a social function, and all three are considered to be pivotal for maintaining psychological well-being (Waters, 2014; Waters et al., 2014). In other words, obtaining these directive, self-related or social
consequences by performing adaptive forms of mnemonic behaviour is regarded as function fulfilment. A failure to fulfil these functions may be a risk factor for psychopathology².

The Directive Function

The directive function entails that we remember our past in order to guide and direct our present and future behaviour (Pillemer, 2003). For example, when you are looking for the exit in a supermarket that you have never visited before (antecedent), you are likely going to remember (behaviour) previous times you went to similar kinds of supermarkets to help find your way out (consequence). As such, remembering can be conceptualized as behaviour that serves the directive function. If our mnemonic behaviour would fail to fulfil this function, our problem-solving skills would suffer, and we would feel overwhelmed by the unknown aspects of every situation. Research has indeed shown that when remembering is nonspecific and remains at an overgeneral level, this can have a pervasive (negative) impact on individuals’ problem-solving skills, which in turns may add to a sense of helplessness and other depressive behaviours (Evans et al., 1992; Pollock & Williams, 2001).

The Self-Function

The self-function, sometimes also referred to as the identity function, refers to the fact that remembering our past allows to create a continuous sense of self (i.e., a sense of identity; McAdams, 2001; McAdams & McLean, 2013). For example, when someone asks you to join them on a holiday for single people (antecedent), you are likely to join (behaviour) if you previously enjoyed (consequence) such events. Accurately remembering those previous events can support a sense of “identity” by allowing one to (realise that one) behave(s) “identically” - or at least similarly - in situations that are alike. So, in the example given here, one can develop an “identity” as “somebody who typically opts to join events for single people”. Research has shown that how we recall our autobiographical experiences (e.g., in a nonspecific or incoherent manner) relates to the extent to which we are able to fulfil the self-function of remembering (i.e., constructing an identity) and is accordingly associated with psychological difficulties like questioning oneself and rumination, which are important maintaining factors in depression and anxiety (Raes et al., 2006; Vanderveren et al., 2020).

² Whilst previous research has predominantly been investigating the ‘use’ (function) of remembering, the focus in this manuscript is on ‘adaptive use’ or ‘adaptation’ (the extent to which the function are also adequately fulfilled and the intended social, self, or directive consequences are obtained; Hyman & Faries, 1992; Pasupathi et al., 2002). In other words, the term adaptation here means that a behaviour is adaptive in serving an outcome, a goal or some preferred end state, like good mental health (Bruce, 1989; see also Bluck & Alea, 2001). In the literature, the respective difference between use and adaptation is often illustrated by the distinction between goals and goal achievement (e.g., Brandstädter & Renner, 1990).
Wilson & Ross, 2003). Furthermore, maladaptive (e.g., incoherent) forms of remembering that fail to fulfil the self-function have been associated with types of psychopathology in which healthy identity functioning is compromised, like personality disorders (e.g., borderline: Adler et al., 2012; Vanderveren et al., 2021), autism spectrum disorder (Diehl et al., 2006), schizophrenia (Lysaker & Lysaker, 2002; Lysaker et al., 2006), and eating disorders (e.g., anorexia nervosa: Miller Tate, 2020). In severe cases, when we would not be able to recall anything, it is almost impossible to imagine what life would look like. We would have no clue about the world or ourselves and wander through life as a void body. Possibly, forms of dementia like Alzheimer’s disease could resemble this kind of experience (Addis & Tippett, 2004; St. Pierre et al., 2005).

**The Social Function**

Last, but not least, the social function entails that we narrate about our past personal experiences to other people in order to create, maintain, and enhance social relationships (Alea & Bluck, 2003, 2007). For instance, when you are meeting a friend (antecedent), you might share memories with him/her in order to create a sense of belongingness (behaviour that serves the social function; Baumeister & Leary, 1995; Rimé et al., 1998). Furthermore, research has shown that the more coherent and specific we share those memories, the more positive the social reaction of the listener is (consequence), thus the better the social function becomes fulfilled and the more coherent or specific you will narrate the next time (Barry et al., 2019a; Vanaken et al., 2020; Vanaken & Hermans, 2020a). If the social function of remembering would remain unfulfilled, this could form one possible pathway to increased feelings of loneliness (Coyne, 1976). For instance, incoherent remembering has been associated with forms of psychopathology in which the development of social relationships can be a hurdle, like social anxiety (Vanaken & Hermans, 2020b). Furthermore, a failure to build and retain a supportive social network through maladaptive remembering (i.e., nonspecific, incoherent) is suggested to be a general risk factor for psychopathological problems, like depression and anxiety (Barry et al., 2019a; Coyne, 1976; Harandi et al., 2017; Ozbay et al., 2007; Vanaken et al., 2021a, b).

**Implications**

Conceptualizing memory as a behaviour that can serve a threefold of functions (consequences that are of directive, self-related or social nature) carries implications for the assessment and the treatment of psychopathology. First, this conceptualization brings into attention how the (learning) history of the individual can shape ways of remembering. Second, it implies that
there is great therapeutic potential in changing maladaptive forms of remembering to more adaptive forms that do adequately fulfil the functions of remembering. Third, ambulatory assessment methods can be used to come to understand fluctuations in behaviour due to analysing its causal relations with contextual antecedents and consequences. We discuss these three implications below.

With respect to the first implication, a large body of work by Fivush and colleagues (e.g., Fivush, 2007, 2008, 2011; Fivush et al., 2006; Reese & Fivush, 1993, 2008) has illustrated the importance of modelling and reinforcement of adaptive mnemonic behaviour during the development of children. The extent to which the primary caregiver encourages the child for communicating about their personal experiences has been shown to predict whether the child will become able to verbally construe structured/coherent narratives about their life experiences and will develop adequate understanding (self-function), regulation (directive function), and sharing of their emotions (social function). Specifically, research has shown that mothers who provide more explanations, emotional expressions and resolutions (characteristics of coherent remembering) when reminiscing about highly stressful events with their children have children whose mnemonic behaviour adaptively serves its functions, and thereby show higher levels of coping skills and lower levels of depression and anxiety (Fivush & Sales 2006; Sales & Fivush 2005). Thus, characteristics of upbringing and particularly the autobiographical reminiscing style of the primary caregiver can predict the extent to which the learned mnemonic behaviour of the child fulfils adaptive functions and can consequently predict their well-being even into adulthood. Nonetheless, caution is warranted in causal interpretations of the findings because this concerns correlational research.

With respect to the second implication, the conceptualization of memory as a behaviour that can be brought under operant control has inspired the development of interventions like Memory Specificity Training (Raes et al., 2009). This treatment has proven to make people more specific in practice (Barry et al., 2019b). That way, people can be trained in remembering more specifically, which can better fulfil the directive, self, and social functions of remembering and consequently enhance our well-being. In other words, we can change behaviour that is not adaptive for the individual (e.g., overgeneral remembering as a maintaining factor of depression and PTSD), by bringing adaptive behaviour (e.g., specific remembering) under operant control, and hence protect individuals against the development or maintenance of psychopathology.
Third, an implication for our research methods can also be noted. Using ambulatory assessment methods, we would be better able to see how characteristics of mnemonic behaviour fluctuate over time and are dependent on various contextual factors (Trull & Ebner-Priemer, 2013; 2014). Instead of assessing characteristics, like the coherence or specificity of someone’s memory in a single test, ambulatory assessment could improve the validity of our research methods by taking into account antecedents and consequences of behaviour, and hence providing starting points to cause changes in behaviour (Trull & Ebner-Priemer, 2013; 2014). This assessment method could thus help us to grasp why in certain situations (antecedents), particular ways of remembering (behaviour), are adept or inept at evoking useful directive, self-related or social consequences; and could consequently help us to improve the specific form of the mnemonic behaviour to make it the most adaptive in serving well-being.

**Conclusion**

Memory is discussed frequently, not only in everyday conversation, but also in the scientific literature and is under investigation as one of the core mechanisms of psychopathology. The traditional cognitive view of memory as a stable structure with a range of set characteristics can be complemented with a perspective that considers remembering as a behaviour that varies fluidly across contexts and time. We discussed how certain ways of remembering (e.g., specific, coherent) can be adaptive for our well-being by serving a directive function, a self-function, and a social function. The ABC analysis is a practical tool to study the conditions under which mnemonic behaviour is either adept or inept at evoking these adaptive directive, self-related and social consequences. Furthermore, the functionalist conceptualization shows us how we can treat maladaptive mnemonic behaviours, namely by training people in performing mnemonic behaviour that serves more adaptive consequences. In sum, adopting a functionalist perspective can improve our understanding of the essential role that mnemonic behaviour plays in the development, maintenance and treatment of psychopathology.
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